

## Motivation

### PROBLEM

- Detect objects of many classes in an image.
- Trained detectors and classifiers already exist, but there is **not enough** time to run all detectors.
- APPROACH
- Formulate **timeliness** evaluation of detection performance vs. time.
- Treat detectors and classifiers as "black boxes"; use reinforcement learning to find a **dynamic** policy for deploying them.

### RESULTS

- Learn to take actions that do not provide immediate reward.
- Wrapping per-class detectors in our system and setting a deadline increases the multi-class Average Precision at deadline and before.

## 3. Implementation

**AP VS TIME AND THE REWARD FUNCTION** 

- The final evaluation is the normalized area under the AP vs. Time curve between  $T_s$  and
- Because this is additive per action, we define

$$R(s^j, a) = \Delta \operatorname{ap}(t_T^j - \frac{1}{2}\Delta t)$$



### **Reward discount** $\gamma$

- $\gamma = 0$  induces a completely greedy policy; at  $\gamma = 1$  is full lookahead.
- $\gamma = 0.4$  performs best in cross-validation on the final evaluation.

**LEARN**  $\pi(s)$  with Monte Carlo policy iteration.

- Gather samples under current  $\pi$  by running detection episodes on several thousand images.
- Update policy-defining weights  $\theta$  with  $L_1$  regularization.
- Gradually decrease  $\epsilon$ -greediness of policy.

THE BELIEF STATE posterior over class presences  $P(\mathbf{C}|\mathbf{o})$  is updated with observations o.

- Direct method assumes independence between classes, and simply replaces the posterior of the class(es) corresponding to the action.
- MRF method sets evidence node and runs loopy BP in a fully-connected MRF model learned with  $L_1$ -regularization.

# **Timely Object Recognition**

## Sergey Karayev<sup>1</sup>, Tobias Baumgartner<sup>2</sup>, Mario Fritz<sup>3</sup>, Trevor Darrell<sup>1</sup>

<sup>2</sup>RWTH Aachen, <sup>1</sup>UC Berkeley, <sup>3</sup>MPI Informatics

## 2. Sequential Multi-class Detection

$$Q(s,a) = \theta_\pi^\top \phi(s,a)$$

$$\mathbb{E}[R \mid s^j, a^j, \pi]$$

Learning with a higher  $\gamma$  results in policies reliant on the global scene feature.

5. Evaluation We evaluated on the PASCAL VOC 2007 detection task, using • 20 Deformable Part Model detectors (one per class) • a scene context action based on the GIST feature. **PERFORMANCE VS. TIME** Our method is compared to a Random: (0.2497, 0.5139) random, optimal static, and oracle Oracle: (0.4878, 0.5298) Fixed Order: (0.3421, 0.525 orderings, evaluated at different RL with MRF: (0.3781, 0.528 settings of start and deadline times. (0,20) 0.2500.1190.257(5, 15)Areas under the AP vs. Time curve. **POLICY TRAJECTORIES** ningtable ttedplant vmonitor horse 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 Action selection traces are plotted over many episodes; the size of the circles correspond to the increase in AP obtained by the action. Our policy selects actions dynamically to maximize the rewards obtained early on. 6. Conclusion If execution is stopped with only half of the detectors deployed, we obtain at least 66% better AP than a random ordering, and 14% better than an intelligent baseline. On the timeliness measure, we obtain at least 11%better performance. Our method is easily extensible. Code is available at http://sergeykarayev.com/work/timely/. NEXT STEPS

### max planck institut informatik

Fixed Order	RL	RL w/ GIST	Oracle
0.342	0.378	0.382	0.488
0.240	0.266	0.267	0.464
0.362	0.418	0.420	0.530
or the $\Delta P$ vs. Time curve			





• Define actions on regions of the image.

• Account for feature computation cost with multiple detector types.